

TO: Bethami Dobkin, Provost

**FROM: Tom Poundstone, Chair
Academic Senate**

DATE: May 18, 2010

**RE: Senate Action S-09/10-23
Senate Charge to the Collegiate Seminar Governing Board
Regarding Implementation of Revised Core Curriculum**

At the May 13, 2010 General Meeting of the Academic Senate, the attached Senate Charge to the Collegiate Seminar Governing Board regarding Implementation of Revised Core Curriculum was approved by a hand vote of 7-0 with two abstentions. This action was assigned Senate Action # S-09/10-23.

Attachment

cc: Br. Ronald Gallagher
Vice Provost Frances Sweeney

5-13-10

Senate Charge to the Collegiate Seminar Governing Board, *re*: Implementation of Revised Core Curriculum

Whereas the Academic Senate approved the Core Curriculum Task Force’s “Model 1 Proposal for the Undergraduate Core Curriculum” (Senate Action #S-08/09-17, accepted by the Provost 5/09); and

Whereas Senate Action #S-08/09-17 reads (in relevant part):

“Collegiate Seminar

“Model 1 continues to require four Collegiate Seminar courses, but requires that students who enter as first year students take one seminar each academic year. As described in the cover letter introducing the two models proposed by the CCTF, the final structure of the Collegiate Seminar will be determined after sufficient study and deliberation is undertaken during the first two years of the implementation process for the new core curriculum”; and

Whereas Senate Action #09/10-7 (accepted by the Provost 3/3/10) brings the Collegiate Seminar Governing Board under the Senate Committee structure,

Resolved: that the Collegiate Seminar Governing Board, in due consultation with the Core Curriculum Implementation Committee, (a) determine how the Collegiate Seminar may best advance, as the “core of the core,” the learning goals established under Senate Action #S-08/09-17, (b) deliberate the Seminar curricula and organization best suited thereunto, and (c) submit a plan of the four-year curriculum, with syllabi, to the Undergraduate Educational Policies Committee, for inclusion with revised catalog language, according to Senate Action #S-09/10-1 [Implementation Process: §§ 4) – 5)].